# Design, Evaluation and Irrigation Scheduling of Drip Irrigation System on Citrus Orchard

Asif, M.<sup>1, 2</sup>, M. Ahmad<sup>2</sup>, A. G. Mangrio<sup>2</sup>, G. Akbar<sup>2</sup>, A. H. Memon<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

Irrigation using drippers is often considered the most efficient method in terms of both water use and labour, but because it is complex, it must be designed, installed, and maintained correctly. Keeping in view this fact trickle irrigation system using locally produced materials was designed and installed on an area of 1.2 acres for citrus orchard at the field station of water resources research institute, NARC Islamabad, Pakistan. The cost of this indigenized trickle irrigation system was Rs. 104900/acre for orchards. The system was evaluated for its hydraulic performance. Results of the study revealed that the discharge of the micro tubeemitter varied from 15.67 to 8.67 l/h under the pressure head of 10.56 to 7 m. The water application uniformity was found to be above 80% which describes that the drip irrigation was designed on proper scale and dimensions. Statistical analysis of all the research parameters i.e. water application uniformity, discharge and pressure are well elaborated, which showed that water application uniformity was more than 80 % which is an indicator of good performance of the system as recommended by Jensen. Further more, irrigation scheduling was also carried out for citrus orchard using climatic data of the project site.

**Key Words:** Water scarcity, discharge, pressure head, uniformity, trickle irrigation and performance.

### Introduction

Shortage of canal water is one of the major limitations in the Indus Basin Irrigation System (IBIS) for increasing agricultural productivity. There is an ever-increasing competition for water among agriculture, industry and domestic users. According to the 1999 report by Pakistan Water Partnership (PWP), domestic and industrial water uses will increase in 2025 by 15% of the available water resources as against the present use of 3%. Rising population and industry will create dual burden on limited water resources impacting agriculture. First, a large population will need more food and fibre. Second, agriculture will have a less reliable supply of irrigation water to meet these rising demands.

One of the options for future water needs is to use available water resources more efficiently and effectively. Trickle irrigation systems are generally permanent and have low labour requirements. The low rate of water application reduces deep percolation losses. The systems generally have lower energy requirements than sprinkler systems because of reduced water use and lower operating pressure requirement (James, 1993). Mass et al (1982) found considerable bums on tomatoes and potatoes, especially on older leaves with sprinkler irrigation when using low quality (saline) waters.

The problem of leaf damage with sprinkler irrigation may be completely avoided by the use of trickle irrigation system. Meiri et al (1982) presented that the threshold salinity was slightly lower with sprinklers but the rate of yield decline was greater (8% per dSm-1) than with the trickle irrigation (4% per dSm-1). Another advantage of trickle irrigation lies in the pattern of salt distribution under the emitters and maintenance of constantly high matric potentials. Bernstein and Francois (1973) found a yield difference of 59% for bell pepper between trickle and sprinkler irrigation when the salinity of irrigation water was 4.4 dSm-1 but no difference when good water was used.

Trickle irrigation system provides the best possible conditions of total soil water potential for low quality of irrigation water. It avoids leaf injury and at the same time provides optimum soil water conditions (Shalhevet, 1984). However, there are several problems associated with trickle irrigation. The most severe problem is the clogging of emitters by particulate and biological materials and this can cause poor application uniformity (James, 1993). Flushing the system after each cropping season can solve this problem. A salt accumulation problem can occur when only a portion of the root zone is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> asifbukhari1@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Climate Change, alternate energy and water resources institute, National Agricultural Research Center, Islamabad, Pakistan

wet and saline waters are being used without proper management. High water application uniformity is one of the significant advantages that a properly designed trickle irrigation system has over other methods of irrigation. Variation in application uniformity of trickle irrigation system may be due to several factors. One of these factors is the manufacturing variation in emitters or variation in emitter manufacturing due to tolerances of parts, assemblies, etc. Another factor of variation in pressure within a system is due to pipe friction or elevation changes (Pitts et al, 1986).

Trickle irrigation system offers considerable potential for saving irrigation water while maintaining or even increasing yield in drought conditions. Now the appropriate technology, skills and services are available which will be used in future for large-scale adoption of trickle irrigation in the country. Trickle irrigation systems have high initial investment but at the same time these are labour, water and fertilizer efficient while no investment is involved in land levelling (WRRI, 2001). Although trickle irrigation systems have reached a level that farmers are adopting them yet their performances under field condition needs as to be tested and standardized. Further more drip irrigation system should have uniform distribution across the field, which can be achieved through proper irrigation scheduling. Accurate water application prevents over- or under-irrigation. Over-irrigation wastes water and energy. The purpose of irrigation scheduling is to determine the exact amount of water to apply to the field and the exact timing. Also, uniform water distribution across the field is important to derive the maximum benefits from irrigation scheduling

In view of all the above facts, the study was thus designed to evaluate the performance of the indigenized trickle irrigation system in the available agro-ecological zone of NARC Islamabad, Pakistan.

#### Objective

The objective of this research work is to design, evaluate discharge, pressure of emitters and application uniformity of the trickle irrigation system and its overall performance along with proper irrigation scheduling so that to know "when to and how much to irrigate".

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Location of the Study Area

The study was undertaken at CAEWRI field station NARC Islamabad, Pakistan. Mean monthly maximum temperature varies from 20.2 to 41.7  $^{\circ}$ C and the mean monthly minimum from 3.6 to 15  $^{\circ}$ C. Mean annual rainfall in the area is about 1150 mm.



Figure 1: Layout of the Newly Designed Drip / Trickle Irrigation System

### Layout Design and Cost of the System

The trickle irrigation was designed and installed on a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  ha (1.2acre) farm of citrus orchard (Figure 1). The system consists of two manifolds with 6 laterals for each manifold. The diameter of the main, manifold and laterals are 50 and 14 mm respectively. Micro tube used as an emitter of 2 mm diameter and single emitter per plant was installed with a discharge capacity of 20 lph. Length of the manifold and lateral was 58 m and 75 m respectively. The row to row and plant to plant distance of the orchards was 6 m each. There are 144 citrus plants, and on each trees a single micro tube is installed with a discharge of 20 lph. The discharge and pressure of the system were 0.8 lps and 10 m, respectively. An electric motor of 2 hp had been used as a prime mover. The detail of the design of the trickle system is presented in Table 1.

#### **Trickle Irrigation System Cost**

The cost of this indigenized trickle irrigation system was Rs.1, 25880 for the area which includes material cost of Rs 1, 15,880 and the installation cost of Rs. 10000. The cost of pumping system and prime mover was 17% of the total cost. The cost of manifold was 35% of the total cost. The cost of laterals and emitter in both blocks was similar. The cost per acre of the system was Rs. 1, 15,880 that is affordable by the farmers. The running cost of the system is Rs 500/month for three hour daily operation. The annual maintenance cost of the electric prime mover varies from 1.5 to 2.5% of the initial cost for trickle emitters (James, 1993). As reported by Akbar et al. 2001 the capital and operating costs are more for sprinkler irrigation system than surface irrigation but more financial benefits could be obtained from sprinkler irrigation system in term of water saving and increased yield, the same may be true for trickle irrigation systems.

General information	
Area (acres)	1.2
Plant spacing (m*m)	6
Number of plants	144
Design Peak water requirements (liters/day/plant)	72
Discharge of emitter (lph)	20
Number of emitter per plant	1
Peak Operating Time (Hour)	3.58
Design	
Length of main line	50
Length of Manifold	68
Number of Plants	144
Discharge of Manifold (lps)	0.80
Manifold Diameter (mm)	50
Friction Head Loss (m)	10
Lateral Length (m)	75
Discharge of Lateral (lps)	0.07
Number of Plants per Lateral	12
Diameter of Lateral (mm)	14
Frictional Head loss (m)	1.5
Design of Pumping System	
Suction Head (m)	2.20
Frictional Head loss (m)	
Manifold	10
Lateral	1.5
Connections/Bends	5
Head loss due to slope	3.5
Total Frictional Head Loss (m)	20
Total Head Loss (m)	22.20
Working Head (m)	12
Total Head Required (m)	34.20
Efficiency of the Prime mover (%)	30
Power Requirement (hp)	2

Table 1: Detail of design parameters of trickle irrigation system.

Sr. No	Description	Unit	Quantity	Rate/unit	Total cost	Total cost (%)
1	PVC PIPE 2 inch	m	160	278	44480	35.34
2	Pressure Gauge	No	1	1200	1200	0.95
3	LDPE Pipe(12mm)	m	900	24	21600	17.16
4	GTO Connector 12 mm	No	100	25	2500	1.99
5	Grooves 12mm	no	100	15	1500	1.19
6	PVC Elbow, 2 in	No	10	170	1700	1.35
7	PVC Socket 2 in	No	20	150	3000	2.38
8	Motor pump,2 hp	No	1	22000	22000	17.48
9	Gi fittings	No	1	20000	20000	15.89
10	Solvent 1 liter	No	1	1300	1300	1.03
11	Micro tubes 2 mm	m	400	5	2000	1.59
12	Water meter	No	1	1500	1500	1.19
13	Valve 2 in	No	2	800	1600	1.27
14	Pressure gauge Adaptor	No	1	1500	1500	1.19
			125,880	100		

Table 2: Cost of trickle irrigation system.

#### Measurement of Pressure, Discharge and Application Uniformity

Hydraulic estimation of drip irrigation system was based on a method defined by the ASAE (1999). Emitters at the head, mid-point and tail-end on each lateral were selected and pressures and discharges were measured on them accordingly. The discharges were taken at selected emitters. Along each lateral, flow volumes were collected at selected points, corresponding approximately to the head, mid-point and tail-end of each lateral. The irrigation system was then pressurized and water bottles for each selected emitter were placed in such a way that the water drops from emitters could be collected in these bottles simultaneously and the filling time of these bottles was recorded accordingly with the help of stop watch. Three times the experiment was repeated in order to have accuracy and avoid any human error. Finally on the basis of results obtained AutoCAD was used to generate drip layout and statistical analysis done accordingly. The discharge and pressure of emitters can be described by the following equation (Keller and Karrneli, 1975)

$$Q = KHx \tag{1}$$

Where;

Q = Emitter flowrate (discharge), L/h

K = Discharge coefficient, which is a constant of proportionality that characterizes each emitter

H = Working pressure head at the emitter, and

x = emitter discharge exponent that characterizes the flow regime.

The magnitude of x is a measure of the sensitivity of the emitter discharge to pressure. The lower the value of x, the less the flow rate is affected by pressure variations. The value of x varies from 0 to 1, depending on the design of the emitter.

#### **Coefficient of Variation**

The parameter, which is generally used as measure of emitter flow variation caused by variation in manufacturing characteristics of the emission devices is called coefficient of variation (CV). The CV

describes the quality of the material and processes used to manufacture the emission devices. It is determined from flow measurements for several identical emission devices and is computed using the following equation.

$$CV = \frac{Sd}{q_{avg}} \tag{2}$$

Where 'Sd' is the standard deviation of flow and 'qavg' is the mean flow for a sampled number of emitters of the same type tested at a fixed pressure and temperature (20 °C). Soloman, 1979 and ASAE, 1984 provided the following ranges of Cv values and their appropriate interpretations Table 3.

Coefficient of Variation,Cv	Classification
> 0.4	Unacceptable
0.4-0.3	Low
0.3-0.2	Acceptable
0.2-0.1	Very good
<0.1	Excellent

**Table 3:** Classification of coefficient of variation.

#### Water Application Uniformity

The uniformity of water application describes how evenly an irrigating system has disturbed water. The water application uniformity of trickle irrigation system was evaluated using the uniformity coefficient formula developed by (Bralts, 1986):

$$Us = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{SDq}{q_{af}} \right) \tag{3}$$

Where;

Us = coefficient of uniformity

Vq = coefficient of variation of emitter flow rate

SDq = standard deviation of field emitter flow rate, Lh-1 and

 $q_{af}$  = average flow rate in the field, Lh-1

The ratio of standard deviation and average flow rate of the emitter is called the coefficient of variation of emitter flow rate (Vq) and presented by equation 2;

$$Vq = SDq / q_{af}$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

#### **Irrigation Scheduling**

Once the system has been designed, installed and evaluated, irrigation scheduling was also carried out using climatic data, which was recorded from Automatic weather station at the site. Irrigation scheduling is the process of determining when it is necessary to irrigate and how much water to apply. Irrigation water is applied to replace the water used by the plant. Evapotranspiration (ET) of weather data used to determine the appropriate amount of water to be applied to a crop at the correct time to achieve healthy plants and conserve water. Meteorologically, ET depends on radiation, temperature, wind speed and air humidity

#### **Results and Discussion**

The present research of the study was carried out to evaluate the performance of the indigenized trickle irrigation system in order to determine the variations in discharge, pressure of emitters and application uniformity of the trickle irrigation system. In this study the amount of flow rate through the selected emitters of newly designed drip irrigation has been computed for Block-I and Block-II accordingly. On the basis of design parameters and drip irrigation geometry the unknown parameters

was calculated. Finally by using statistical approach the results are validated graphs was generated accordingly.

#### **Pressure Variation in Laterals**

In Block-I, the average pressure head was 10.28 m in first lateral and it decreased to 8.25 m in the last lateral at a distance of 36 m. There was a drop of 2.03 m in pressure-head. The pressure at head reaches of each lateral varied from 10.56 to 9.5 m and it varied from 10 to 7 m at tail reaches. The percent variation is less at head reaches as compared to tail reaches of the laterals (Figure 2). The average pressure head was 8.4 m in the first lateral of Block-II and it decreased to 6 m in the last lateral. There was a drop of 2.4 m pressure head in Block-II showing a little decrease of pressure head than in Block-II the pressure at head reaches of the laterals varied from 9.3 to 8.4 m and it varied from 8 to 4 m at the tail reaches showing a similar trend of decrease at the head and the tail reaches of the laterals (Figure 3).

The overall average pressure in Block-I was 10.28 m and in Block-II was 8.4 m (Table 3, 4). The coefficient of variation of working pressure was 12% in Block-I and 27% in Block-II, while the standard deviation was 1.03 in Block-I and 1.92 in Block-II (Table 5). The pressure differences are mainly due to pipe friction, emitter joint losses and change of ground surface elevation as indicated by (James, 1993). However large variations in the pressure readings of the laterals may indicate problems, such as blockages or leaks.



Figure 2: Pressure variation in head and tail reaches of laterals in Block-I.



Figure 3: Pressure variation in head and tail reaches of laterals in Block-II.

## **Discharge Variation in Laterals**

The average discharge of emitters was 15.67 L/h in the first lateral and it decreased to11.67 Lh-1 in the last lateral at a distance of 36m in Block-I (Figure 4), whereas in Block-II the average discharge was 13.83 Lh-1 in the first lateral and it decreased to 8.67 Lh-1 at a distance of 36 m (Figure 5). The overall average discharge of micro-tubing emitter was 13.75 L/h in Block-I and 10.98 L/h in Block-II (Table 4, 5). The average coefficient of variation of emitter flow rate was 19% in Block-I and 15% in Block-II, while the standard deviation was 2.58 in Block-I and 1.64 in Block-II (Table 6). The discharge of emitters at the head reaches of each lateral in Block-I varied from 18 to 14 l/h in a 72 m distance. A similar trend of decrease was observed at the tail reaches of the lateral (Figure 5). The emitter discharge include water temperature, quality with which the emitter is manufactured (James, 1993). Here the emitter discharge variation is mainly due to pressure differences and the manufacturing material quality.

Lateral	Distance from	Lateral	Lateral Performance Indices							
Number	(m)	(m)	q; L/h			Average q;L/h	H;m	SDq	Vq	Us
			Head	Middle	Tail					
1	70	0	18	13	16	15.67	10.56	2.52	0.16	83.94
2	76	6	17	15	11	14.33	10.5	3.06	0.21	78.69
3	82	12	15	11	13	13.00	10	2.00	0.15	84.62
4	88	18	17	14	9	13.33	9.2	4.04	0.30	69.69
5	94	24	16.5	13	14	14.50	9.5	1.80	0.12	87.57
6	100	30	14	11	10	11.67	9.5	2.08	0.18	82.16
	Average					13.75	9.88	2.58	0.19	81.11

Table 4: Performance Indices of Trickle Irrigation System in Laterals of Block-I.

q = emitter flow rate, H= working Pressure, SDq= standard deviation of emitter flow rate, Vq= coefficient of variation of the emitter flow rate, us= uniformity coefficient

Table 5: Performance Indices of Trickle Irrigation System in Laterals of Block-II.

Lateral	Distance from	Lateral	Lateral Performance Indices							
Number	(m)	(m)	q; L/h			Average q;L/h	H;m	SDq	Vq	Us
			Head	Middle	Tail					
7	106	36	15	13.5	13	13.83	9.3	1.04	0.08	92.48
8	112	42	14	11	10	11.67	9	2.08	0.18	82.16
9	118	48	10	12	11	11.00	8.83	1.00	0.09	90.91
10	124	54	14	10	9	11.00	8	2.65	0.24	75.95
11	130	60	11	10.2	8	9.73	8.5	1.55	0.16	84.04
12	136	66	10	9	7	8.67	7	1.53	0.18	82.37
	Average					10.98	8.44	1.64	0.15	84.65

q = emitter flow rate, H= working Pressure, SDq= standard deviation of emitter flow rate, Vq= coefficient of variation of the emitter flow rate, us= uniformity coefficient

Parameter	Block-I	Block-II
Standard Deviation of emitter flow rate (SDq)	2.58	1.64
Coefficient of Variation of emitter flow rate (Vq;%)	19	15
Uniformity Coefficient (Us ;%)	81.11	84.65
Standard Deviation of Working Pressure (SDh)	1.03	1.92
Coefficient of Variation of working pressure (Vh;%)	12.00	27.00

Table 6: The water application uniformity and statistics of discharge and pressure.



Figure 4: Discharge variation in head and tail reaches of laterals in Block-I.



Figure 5: Discharge variation in head and tail reaches of laterals in Block-II.

# **Discharge and Pressure Relationship**

Trend of pressure discharge relationship is given in Figure 6. The discharge of the Microtube decreased with the decrease in pressure in the laterals. The best fitted curve with the highest value of correlation (R2) for Microtube emitter for the given equation is 0.78, which indicates satisfactory performance. Furthermore results indicated that the discharge within the range of 7-15 Lh-1 can be achieved under the pressure head of 7-10 m. This relationship can help in determining the discharge of emitters for pressure head varying from 7-10 m in the laterals.



Figure 6: Relationship of discharge and pressure variation in trickle irrigation system.

# **Application Uniformity of the System**

The uniformity of water application describes how evenly an irrigation system has distributed water over a field. The uniformity of application was evaluated using the uniformity coefficient, Us by equation (2). The performance indices of trickle irrigation system are presented in Table 3 and Table 4. The application uniformity was 81% in Block-I and 85% in Block-II. The overall application uniformity was more than 80% in the field that shows a reasonably good performance of indigenized trickle irrigation system. Variation in the application uniformity depends on manufacturing variation in emitters and pressure variation in system due to pipe friction and elevation changes (Pitts, 1986). Large variations in the pressure readings of the laterals may indicate problems, such as blockages or leaks however, the application uniformity above 80% is an indicator of good performance of the system as recommended by (Jensen, 1981).

# **Irrigation Scheduling**

When to irrigate and how much to irrigate, the newly planted citrus fruits plants under drip irrigation was decided by using local climatic data recorded from automatic weather station installed at field station of the water resources research institute, NARC. Average climatic data of the last 22 years was taken into account for irrigation scheduling, which is presented in Table 7. Irrigation scheduling was designed at maturity, for which canopy area, wetting area factor, rooting depth, water useful capacity, depletion level and soil characteristic were studied which is depicted below in basic field information in Table 8, while decision of irrigation when to irrigate and how much to irrigate is presented below in Table 9.

Month	Temp (oC)	Wind Speed. (km/day)	Reference Evapotranspiration (mm/day)	Rainfall (mm)	Humidity (%)	Sunshine (hours)
Jan	17.32	53.4	1.95	50.96	61	6.3
Feb	19.34	61.9	2.67	80.45	60	6.3
March	24.00	74.1	3.47	75.38	67	5.9

Table 7: Average Climatic data and reference crop evapotranspiration at NARC Islamabad, Pakistan.

April	29.97	78.3	5.27	50.11	68	7.8
Мау	35.90	98.9	7.69	26.62	46.39	9.6
June	37.62	105.7	8.11	83.46	40.00	8.9
July	34.18	79.3	6.71	318.77	58.26	7.5
August	32.69	71.4	5.65	297.33	74.80	7.5
September	32.24	70.5	4.86	125.48	77.56	8.4
October	29.69	57.5	3.87	27.31	72.59	8.3
Nov	24.99	47.8	2.46	9.60	58.46	7.9
Dec	19.39	52.1	1.71	35.08	62	5.4

 Table 8: Basic field information.

Basic field information	Unit	Full Maturity	
Soil type	silty clay loam soil		
Canopy Diameter	m	1.5	
Canopy Area	sq.m	1.76625	
Canopy /Wetted Area Factor	%	0.75	
Wetted Area	sq.m	1.32	
Root Depth	cm	50	
Useful Capacity	mm	90	
Depletion Level	%	0.5	
Water Requirement	mm	45	
Infiltration Rate	mm/hr	12	
Slope	%	0	
Decrease due to Slope	%	0	
Effective Infiltration Rate	mm/hr	12	
Water Application Required	L	59.61	
Maximum/Permissible Application Rate	L/hr	15.90	
Proposed Application Rate	L/hr	32	
Proposed Operating Time	hours	3.73	
Proposed Emitter Discharge	L/h	8	
No. of Emitters per Plant	No	4	

		A.v.a. 54	Full Matu	ırity			
S. No.	Month	AVGEt	Crop	Water Reqd	Water Cons.	Cycle	Operation T
		mm/day	Factor	(L)	(L/day)	(Day)	(hours)
1	Jan	1.95	0.80	79.48	2.07	38.46	3.97
2	Feb	2.67	0.80	79.48	2.83	28.09	3.97
3	Mar	3.47	0.80	79.48	3.68	21.61	3.97
4	Apr	5.27	0.80	79.48	5.58	14.23	3.97
5	Мау	7.69	0.80	79.48	8.15	9.75	3.97
6	Jun	8.11	0.80	79.48	8.59	9.25	3.97
7	Jul	6.71	0.80	79.48	7.11	11.18	3.97
8	Aug	5.65	0.80	79.48	5.99	13.27	3.97
9	Sep	4.86	0.80	79.48	5.15	15.43	3.97
10	Oct	3.87	0.80	79.48	4.10	19.38	3.97
11	Nov	2.46	0.80	79.48	2.61	30.49	3.97
12	Dec	1.71	0.80	79.48	1.81	43.86	3.97

 Table 9: Irrigation scheduling at maturity.

#### Conclusion

Micro-Irrigation systems can apply irrigation water quite efficiently, but only if they are well designed and maintained properly.

Results of the study revealed that the discharge of the micro tube emitter varied from 15.67 to 8.67 l/h under the pressure head of 10.56 to 7 m when the drip irrigation system was operated at 10.54 m pressure head.

The water application uniformity was found to be above 80%, which describes that the drip irrigation was designed on the basis of proper scaling and dimensions. However, it may be improved by removal of clogging. Figure- (2, 3) and Figure- (4, 5) describe the pressure and discharge variation in head, middle and tail reaches of laterals in Block-I and Block II respectively.

For the succeful operation of the drip system, water distribution across the field is important to derive the maximum benefits from irrigation scheduling

The present study encourages trickle installation companies and researchers for further studies on design, installation and evaluation of trickle irrigation system for orchards and other valuable crops.

### Recommendations

In orchards where there is a considerable pressure difference, it is necessary that pressure regulating valves or pressure compensating emitters may be installed in places where they are required in order to create a uniform pressure.

Regular flushing of the systems will reduce emitter blockage and will increase emission discharge from blocked emitters.

The infiltration rate of the soil is not easy to determine; it changes during an irrigation and may change across the season. Therefore, it is suggested that while designing a drip irrigation system, it is preferable to choose the correct application rate at the design stage.

The researcher should developed brochure or leaflet on "irrigation scheduling" in the local languages so that the benefits of the irrigation scheduling may disseminated to the farming community.

### References

Ahmad, S., M. M. Ahmad, M. Yasin, G. Akbar, and Z. Khan, 2000: Assessment of shallow groundwater quality in Mona SCARP area. Proceedings of Regional Groundwater Management Seminar, PWP/GWP, Islamabad, pp. 17-25.

Akbar, G., M. Yasin, S. Ahmad, M. M. Ahmad, and M. Ashraf, 2001: Comparative performance of sprinkler and surface irrigation methods. Sarhad J. Agric. 17:601-608.

Bernstein, L., and L. E. Francois, 1973: Comparison of drip, furrow and sprinkler irrigation. Soil Sci. 115:73-86.

**Bralts, V. F., 1986:** Field performance and evaluation. In:Trickle irrigation for crop production, design, operation and management. Eds. Nakayama, F.S. and Bucks, S.A. Amsterdam, Elsevier.

James, L. G., 1993: Principles of farm irrigation systems design. Krieger Publishing Company, Malarbar, Florida, USA.

Jensen, M. E, 1981: Design and operation of farm irrigation systems. American Society of Agri. Engineers (ASAE.), Monograph Number 3, Michigan

Keller, J., and D. Karrneli, 1975: Trickle irrigation design Rainbird Sprinkler Irrigation Corp. Glendora, CA.

Mass, E. V., S. R. Grettan, and G. Ogata, 1982: Foliar salt accumulation and injury in crops sprinkled with saline water. Irrigation Sci. 3:157-168.

Meiri, A., J. Shalhevet, D. Shimshi, and M. Tibor, 1982: Irrigation of spring potatoes with saline water. Annual Report. Agri. Res. Org. Inst. Soil Water, Hebrew.

Pitts, D. J., J. A. Ferguson, and R. E. Wright, 1986: Trickle irrigation lateral line design by computer analysis. Transactions of the ASAE, 29: 1320-1324.

**PWP, 1999:** Pakistan country report; water vision for the 21" century. Pakistan Water Partnership158 (PWP), Global Water Partnership.

Shalhevet, J., 1984: Management of irrigation with brackish water. In: Soil salinity under irrigation: Process and management. Eds. Shainberg, I. and Shalhevet, J. pp 298-318. Springer Verlag.

**WRRI, 2001:** Handbook of pressurized irrigation systems and innovative adaptations. Water Resources Research Institute (WRRI), NARC, Islamabad.

48